

A NOTE ON TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

SHARIEF DESHMUKH* AND MUKUT MANI TRIPATHI**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain some sufficient conditions for a 3-dimensional compact trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. A characterization of a 3-dimensional cosymplectic manifold is also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold (cf. [2]). Then the product $\overline{M} = M \times R$ has a natural almost complex structure J with the product metric G being Hermitian metric. The geometry of the almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, J, G)$ dictates the geometry of the almost contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ and gives different structures on M like Sasakian structure, quasi-Sasakian structure, Kenmotsu structure and others (cf. [2], [3], [8]). It is known that there are sixteen different types of structures on the almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, J, G)$ (cf. [6]) and using the structure in the class $\mathcal{W}_4$ on $(\overline{M}, J, G)$, a structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ on M called trans-Sasakian structure, was introduced (cf. [13]) that generalizes Sasakian and Kenmotsu structures on a contact metric manifold (cf. [3], [8]), where α, β are smooth functions defined on M . Since the introduction of trans-Sasakian manifolds, very important contributions of Blair and Oubiña [3] and Marrero [11] have appeared, studying the geometry of trans-Sasakian manifolds. In general a trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is called a trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) . Trans-Sasakian manifolds of type $(0, 0)$, $(\alpha, 0)$ and $(0, \beta)$ are called cosymplectic, α -Sasakian, and β -Kenmotsu manifolds respectively. Marrero [11] has shown that a trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension ≥ 5 is either cosymplectic, or α -Sasakian, or β -Kenmotsu. Since then, there is a concentration on studying geometry of 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds only (cf. [1], [4], [5], [9], [10]),

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C15; Secondary (optional) 53D10.

Key words and phrases. Almost contact metric manifold, Sasakian manifold, Trans-Sasakian manifold.

This work was supported by King Saud University, Deanship of Scientific Research, College of Science Research Center.

putting some restrictions on the smooth functions α, β appearing in the definition of trans-Sasakian manifolds. There are several examples of trans-Sasakian manifolds constructed mostly on 3-dimensional Riemannian manifolds (cf. [3], [11], [13]). Moreover, as the geometry of Sasakian manifolds is very rich, and is derived from contact geometry, the question of finding conditions under which a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold becomes more interesting. In this paper we consider this question and obtain two different sufficient conditions for a trans-Sasakian manifold to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. One of them is expressed in terms of the smooth functions α, β and a bound on certain Ricci curvature, and the other requires that the Reeb vector should be an eigenvector of the Ricci operator (cf. Theorems 3.1, 3.2). We also find a characterization of cosymplectic manifolds (cf. Theorem 4.1).

Acknowledgement. *The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the referee for many corrections. The first author also wishes to thank the DST-CIMS at Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi for the hospitality during his visit to the center.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold, where φ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, ξ a unit vector field and η a smooth 1-form dual to ξ with respect to the Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.1)$$

$X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on M (cf. [2]). If there are smooth functions α, β on an almost contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ satisfying

$$(\nabla \varphi)(X, Y) = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X), \quad (2.2)$$

then this is said to be a trans-Sasakian manifold, where $(\nabla \varphi)(X, Y) = \nabla_X \varphi Y - \varphi(\nabla_X Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric g (cf. [3], [11], [13]). We shall denote this trans-Sasakian manifold by $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ and it is called trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) . From equations (2.1) and (2.2), it follows that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha \varphi(X) + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (2.3)$$

It is clear that a trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(1, 0)$ is a Sasakian manifold (cf. [2]) and a trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(0, 1)$ is a Kenmotsu manifold (cf. [8]). A trans-Sasakian manifold of type $(0, 0)$ is called a cosymplectic manifold (cf. [7]).

Let Ric be the Ricci tensor of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then the Ricci operator Q is a symmetric tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ defined by $Ric(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We prepare some tools for trans-Sasakian manifolds.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Then $\xi(\alpha) = -2\alpha\beta$.*

Proof. Using (2.3), we get that

$$d\eta(X, Y) = -2\alpha g(\varphi X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

and as a consequence, the 2-form Ω defined by $\Omega(X, Y) = \alpha g(\varphi X, Y)$ is closed. Using (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3) in $d\Omega = 0$ after some trivial calculations, we arrive at

$$\varphi \{X(\alpha)Y - Y(\alpha)X - 2\alpha\beta\eta(Y)X + 2\alpha\beta\eta(X)Y\} + g(\varphi X, Y) (\nabla\alpha + 2\alpha\beta\xi) = 0$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Operating φ on the equation above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\alpha)X - X(\alpha)Y + 2\alpha\beta\eta(Y)X - 2\alpha\beta\eta(X)Y + X(\alpha)\eta(Y)\xi \\ - Y(\alpha)\eta(X)\xi + g(\varphi X, Y)\varphi(\nabla\alpha) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ on M , taking $X = e_i$ in the equation above, taking the inner product with e_i and adding the resulting equations, we get

$$(2\alpha\beta + \xi(\alpha))\eta(Y) = 0, \quad Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

which gives

$$(2\alpha\beta + \xi(\alpha))\xi = 0$$

and we obtain the result. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Then its Ricci operator satisfies*

$$Q(\xi) = \varphi(\nabla\alpha) - \nabla\beta + 2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\xi - g(\nabla\beta, \xi)\xi$$

where $\nabla\alpha, \nabla\beta$ are gradients of the smooth functions α, β .

Proof. We use (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3) to calculate

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi - \nabla_{[X, Y]}\xi$$

and after some easy computations we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\xi = & Y(\alpha)\varphi X - X(\alpha)\varphi Y + X(\beta)(Y - \eta(Y)\xi) - Y(\beta)(X - \eta(X)\xi) \\ & + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + 2\alpha\beta(\eta(Y)\varphi X - \eta(X)\varphi Y). \end{aligned}$$

The above equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(Y, \xi) = & g(\varphi(\nabla\alpha), Y) - g(\nabla\beta, Y) - g(\nabla\beta, \xi)\eta(Y) \\ & + 2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. $\square$

Next, we state the following result of [12], which we shall use in the sequel.

Theorem 2.1. [12] *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. If M admits a Killing vector field ξ of constant length satisfying*

$$k^2 (\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi) = g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, Y)\xi$$

for a nonzero constant k and any vector fields X and Y , then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

3. TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS HOMOTHETIC TO SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

In this section we study compact and connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds and obtain conditions under which they are homothetic to Sasakian manifolds. Our first result uses a bound on the Ricci curvature of the trans-Sasakian manifold in the direction of the vector field ξ .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold. If the Ricci curvature $Ric(\xi, \xi)$ satisfies*

$$0 < Ric(\xi, \xi) \leq 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2),$$

then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.

Proof. Using (2.3) we immediately compute

$$\delta\eta = \operatorname{div}\xi = 2\beta. \quad (3.1)$$

Also, since $d\eta(X, Y) = -2\alpha g(\varphi X, Y)$, we obtain

$$\|d\eta\|^2 = 8\alpha^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Now using (2.3), after some obvious calculations, we get

$$\|\nabla\xi\|^2 = 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2). \quad (3.3)$$

Now, using (3.1)-(3.3) in the integral formula (cf. [14])

$$\int_M \left\{ Ric(\xi, \xi) - \frac{1}{2} \|d\eta\|^2 + \|\nabla\xi\|^2 - (\delta\eta)^2 \right\} = 0$$

and the hypothesis of the theorem, we deduce that

$$Ric(\xi, \xi) = 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2). \quad (3.4)$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$Ric(\xi, \xi) = -2\xi(\beta) + 2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$$

which together with (3.4) gives

$$\xi(\beta) = -2\beta^2. \quad (3.5)$$

We claim that β must be a constant. If β is not a constant, then on the compact M it has a local maximum at some $p \in M$. We have $(\nabla\beta)(p) = 0$ and the Hessian H_β is negative definite at this point p . However, using the equation (3.5), we have $\xi(\beta)(p) = -2(\beta(p))^2 = 0$ and $H_\beta(\xi, \xi)(p) = \xi\xi(\beta)(p) =$

$4(\beta(p))^3 = 0$, (where we used $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$), which yields a contradiction (as the Hessian is negative definite at p). Hence, β is a constant and this, combined with Stokes' theorem applied to $\operatorname{div}(\xi) = 2\beta$, proves that $\beta = 0$.

Since $\beta = 0$, the Lemma 2.1 gives $\xi(\alpha) = 0$. We claim that α is a constant. If not, on compact M the smooth function α attains a local maximum at some point $p \in M$. At this point, the Hessian H_α is negative definite. However, for the unit vector field ξ , we have $H_\alpha(\xi, \xi) = 0$, which fails to be negative definite at point p , which is a contradiction. Now, that α is a non-zero constant follows from the condition in the hypothesis. Thus, using (2.3), we compute

$$\alpha^{-2} (\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi) = g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, Y)\xi,$$

and this implies by Theorem 2.1 that M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. $\square$

As a direct consequence of the above theorem we have the following result, which has motivation from the fact that on a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ the Ricci operator satisfies $Q(\xi) = 2n\xi$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold. If the vector field ξ satisfies $Q(\xi) = 2\alpha^2\xi \neq 0$, then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.*

As pointed out earlier, on a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, the Ricci operator satisfies $Q(\xi) = 2n\xi$, that is, the Reeb vector field ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci operator. This motivates the question of whether a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ satisfying $Q(\xi) = \lambda\xi$ for a non-zero constant λ , is necessarily homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. We answer this question for compact connected 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds and show that they are homothetic to Sasakian manifolds.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold. Then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold if and only if the vector field ξ satisfies $Q(\xi) = \lambda\xi$ for a non-zero constant λ .*

Proof. Using $Q(\xi) = \lambda\xi$ in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\varphi(\nabla\alpha) - \nabla\beta = (\lambda + \xi(\beta) - 2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2))\xi. \quad (3.7)$$

Taking the inner product with ξ in the above equation, we obtain

$$\xi(\beta) = -\frac{\lambda}{2} + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2). \quad (3.8)$$

Inserting this value in (3.7), we have

$$\varphi(\nabla\alpha) - \nabla\beta = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\right)\xi \quad (3.9)$$

and applying φ to the above equation, we obtain

$$\nabla\alpha = -2\alpha\beta\xi - \varphi(\nabla\beta). \quad (3.10)$$

If A is a symmetric operator on the trans-Sasakian manifold M , we can choose a local orthonormal frame that diagonalizes A and consequently, we have

$$\sum g(\varphi(Ae_i), e_i) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Now for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we compute

$$\nabla_X (\varphi(\nabla\beta) + 2\alpha\beta\xi) = (\nabla_X \varphi)(\nabla\beta) + \varphi(A_\beta X) + 2X(\alpha\beta)\xi + 2\alpha\beta\nabla_X \xi$$

where $A_\beta X = \nabla_X \nabla\beta$ is a symmetric operator $A_\beta : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Taking the inner product with X in above equation and using equations (2.2) and (2.3), after some easy calculations we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X (\varphi(\nabla\beta) + 2\alpha\beta\xi), X) &= \alpha X(\beta)\eta(X) - \alpha\xi(\beta)g(X, X) \\ &\quad + \beta g(\varphi X, \nabla\beta)\eta(X) \\ &\quad + g(\varphi(A_\beta X), X) + 2X(\alpha\beta)\eta(X) \\ &\quad + 2\alpha\beta^2 g(X, X) - 2\alpha\beta^2 (\eta(X))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking trace in the equation above, in view of the equation (3.11), we get

$$\operatorname{div}(\varphi(\nabla\beta) + 2\alpha\beta\xi) = -2\alpha\xi(\beta) + 2\xi(\alpha\beta) + 4\alpha\beta^2 = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

where we used the fact that $\xi(\alpha) = -2\alpha\beta$. Thus using (3.12) in the equation (3.10), we conclude that $\Delta\alpha = \operatorname{div}(\nabla\alpha) = 0$ on compact M , which proves that α is a constant. Using the constant α in the equation (3.9), we get

$$-\nabla\beta = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\right)\xi$$

which together with the equation (3.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\beta &= -2\beta\xi(\beta) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\right)\operatorname{div}\xi \\ &= -2\beta\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\right) - 2\beta\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $\operatorname{div}\xi = 2\beta$. Thus β is a constant, which together with Stokes' theorem and $\operatorname{div}\xi = 2\beta$ proves that $\beta = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$, then (3.7) would imply $\lambda = 0$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, α is a non-zero constant which by the equation (3.1) satisfies

$$\alpha^{-2}(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi) = g(Y, \xi) - g(X, Y)\xi.$$

This proves that M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. The converse is obvious. $\square$

4. A CHARACTERIZATION OF COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

In this section, we study 3-dimensional compact trans-Sasakian manifolds, and obtain a characterization of cosymplectic manifolds. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Then for each point $p \in M$ there is a neighbourhood U of p , where we have a local orthonormal frame $\{e, \varphi e, \xi\}$ for a unit vector field e on U called an adapted frame. Using the equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain the following local structure equations defined on U

$$\nabla_e \xi = \beta e - \alpha \varphi e, \quad \nabla_{\varphi e} \xi = \alpha e + \beta \varphi e, \quad \nabla_\xi \xi = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\nabla_e e = \gamma \varphi e - \beta \xi, \quad \nabla_{\varphi e} e = -\delta \varphi e - \alpha \xi, \quad \nabla_\xi e = \lambda \varphi e, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\nabla_e \varphi e = -\gamma e + \alpha \xi, \quad \nabla_{\varphi e} \varphi e = \delta e - \beta \xi, \quad \nabla_\xi \varphi e = -\lambda e, \quad (4.3)$$

where γ, δ, λ are smooth functions defined on U . Using the above equations, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} R(e, \varphi e) \xi &= (e(\alpha) - \varphi e(\beta)) e + (e(\beta) + \varphi e(\alpha)) \varphi e \\ R(\varphi e, \xi) e &= (\varphi e(\lambda) + \xi(\delta) + \beta \delta - \gamma \alpha - \gamma \lambda) \varphi e + (\xi(\alpha) + 2\alpha \beta) \xi \\ R(\xi, e) \varphi e &= (e(\lambda) - \xi(\gamma) - \beta \gamma - \delta \alpha - \delta \lambda) e + (\xi(\alpha) + 2\alpha \beta) \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these three equations, we conclude that

$$e(\alpha) - \varphi e(\beta) + e(\lambda) - \xi(\gamma) = \beta \gamma + \delta \alpha + \delta \lambda, \quad (4.4)$$

$$e(\beta) + \varphi e(\alpha) + \varphi e(\lambda) + \xi(\delta) = \gamma \alpha + \gamma \lambda - \beta \delta, \quad (4.5)$$

and the third component gives the result in the Lemma 2.1. Also, we have

$$R(\xi, e) e = (\xi(\gamma) - e(\lambda) + \beta \gamma + \alpha \delta + \lambda \delta) \varphi e + (-\xi(\beta) + \alpha^2 - \beta^2) \xi$$

and

$$R(\xi, \varphi e) \varphi e = (\xi(\delta) + \varphi e(\lambda) + \beta \delta - \alpha \gamma - \lambda \gamma) e + (-\xi(\beta) + \alpha^2 - \beta^2) \xi$$

Using the two equations above in $Q(\xi) = R(\xi, e) e + R(\xi, \varphi e) \varphi e$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\xi) &= (\xi(\delta) + \varphi e(\lambda) + \beta \delta - \alpha \gamma - \lambda \gamma) e \\ &\quad + (\xi(\gamma) - e(\lambda) + \beta \gamma + \alpha \delta + \lambda \delta) \varphi e \\ &\quad + 2(-\xi(\beta) + \alpha^2 - \beta^2) \xi. \end{aligned}$$

This together with the equations (4.4) and (4.5) gives

$$Q(\xi) = -(e(\beta) + \varphi e(\alpha)) e + (e(\alpha) - \varphi e(\beta)) \varphi e + 2(-\xi(\beta) + \alpha^2 - \beta^2) \xi. \quad (4.6)$$

Recall that in Theorem 3.2, the vector field ξ being an eigenvector of the Ricci operator corresponding to a non-zero eigenvalue makes the trans-Sasakian manifold homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. Similarly, we have the following characterization of cosymplectic manifolds.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and connected trans-Sasakian manifold. Then M is a cosymplectic manifold if and only if the Ricci operator Q annihilates the vector field ξ .*

Proof. Suppose that $Q(\xi) = 0$ holds. Then (4.6) gives

$$e(\beta) = -\varphi e(\alpha), \quad e(\alpha) = \varphi e(\beta), \text{ and } \xi(\beta) = \alpha^2 - \beta^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and the equations (2.2), (2.3), (4.1)-(4.3) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\alpha &= ee(\alpha) + \varphi e\varphi e(\alpha) + \xi\xi(\alpha) - \nabla_e e(\alpha) - \nabla_{\varphi e}\varphi e(\alpha) - \nabla_\xi \xi(\alpha) \\ &= [e, \varphi e](\beta) - 2\xi(\alpha\beta) + \gamma e(\beta) - \delta\varphi e(\beta) - 4\alpha\beta^2 \\ &= (\nabla_e \varphi e)(\beta) - (\nabla_{\varphi e} e)(\beta) - 2\xi(\alpha\beta) + \gamma e(\beta) - \delta\varphi e(\beta) - 4\alpha\beta^2 \\ &= (-\gamma e + \alpha\xi)(\beta) - (-\delta\varphi e - \alpha\xi) - 2\xi(\alpha\beta) + \gamma e(\beta) - \delta\varphi e(\beta) - 4\alpha\beta^2 \\ &= 2\alpha\xi(\beta) - 2\xi(\alpha\beta) - 4\alpha\beta^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus thanks to compactness of M we have proved that α is a constant. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then Lemma 2.1, implies that $\beta = 0$ and consequently the equation (4.7) gives $\alpha = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\alpha = 0$ and the equation (4.7) gives $\xi(\beta) = -\beta^2$, that is, $\text{div}(\beta\xi) = \beta^2$, where we used $\text{div}\xi = 2\beta$, which follows from the equation (2.3). Using Stokes' theorem in $\text{div}(\beta\xi) = \beta^2$, we obtain $\beta = 0$. That is, M is a cosymplectic manifold. Conversely, if M is a cosymplectic manifold, then the equation (4.6) gives that $Q(\xi) = 0$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] AL-SOLAMY, F. R.—KIM, J.-S.—TRIPATHI, M. M.: *On η -Einstein trans-Sasakian manifolds*, An. Stiint. Univ. "Al.I.Cuza" din Iasi **57** (2011), no. 2, 417–440.
- [2] BLAIR, D. E.: *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **509**, Springer (1976).
- AARTS, J. M.—LUTZER, D. J.: *Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **48** (1973), 1–10.
- [3] BLAIR, D. E.—OUBINA, J. A.: *Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds*, Publ. Mat. **34** (1990), no. 1, 199–207.
- [4] DE, U. C.—SARKAR, A.: *On three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Extracta Math. **23** (2008), no. 3, 265–277.
- [5] DE, U. C.—TRIPATHI, M. M.: *Ricci tensor in 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Kyungpook Math. J. **43** (2003), no. 2, 247–255.
- [6] GRAY, A.—HERVELLA, L. M.: *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **123** (1980), 35–58.
- [7] FUJIMOTO, A.—MUTO, H.: *On cosymplectic manifolds*, Tensor **28** (1974), 43–52.
- [8] KENMOTSU, K.: *A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **24** (1972), 93–103.
- [9] KIM, J.-S.—PRASAD, R.—TRIPATHI, M. M.: *On generalized Ricci-recurrent trans-Sasakian manifolds*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **39** (2002), no. 6, 953–961.
- [10] KIRICHENKO, V. F.: *On the geometry of nearly trans-Sasakian manifolds*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk **397** (2004), no. 6, 733–736.

- [11] MARRERO, J. C.: *The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **162** (1992), 77–86.
- [12] OKUMURA, M.: *Certain almost contact hypersurfaces in Kaehlerian manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvatures*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **16** (1964) 270–284.
- [13] OUBINA, J. A.: *New classes of almost contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **32** (1985), no. 3-4, 187–193.
- [14] YANO, K.: *Integral formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker (1970).

* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE
KING SAUD UNIVERSITY
P.O. BOX 2455, RIYADH 11451
SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: `shariefd@ksu.edu.sa`

** DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DST-CIMS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY
VARANASI 221005
INDIA
E-mail address: `mmtripathi66@yahoo.com`